No. 26.— GANJAM PLATES OF PRITHIVIVARMADEVA.

By F. Kielhorn, Ph.D., LL.D., C.I.E.; Göttingen.

This inscription³ is on three copper-plates, which were received by Dr. Hultzsch from Mr. C. J. Weir, I.C.S., Collector of the Ganjam district. It is not known when and where they were originally found. The size of the plates is about $7\frac{1}{2}$ broad by $3\frac{3}{4}$ high. Each plate has a ring-hole on the proper right side, but the ring and any seal that may have been attached to it are missing. The first and third plates are inscribed on one side only The edges of the inscribed sides are raised into rims for the protection of the writing, which in consequence is in very good preservation. The size of the letters is between $\frac{3}{16}$ and $\frac{3}{8}$. The characters are Nâgarî, as written in Orissa and neighbouring parts of Eastern India in perhaps the 12th or 13th century A.D.4 They include a final form of t, which is five times employed in lines 16 and 17.5 The language is incorrect Sanskrit; and as the text, moreover, has been written by a very ignorant writer, it abounds in errors of every description, a few of which (in lines 6 and 12) I am unable to correct. In respect of orthography, I would merely draw attention to the promiscuous use of the sibilants, and especially to the prevalence of the palatal sibilant which probably is due to the influence of the Mâgadhî Prâkrit.6 Thus, & is six times employed instead of s (as in śamādishati for samādišati, l. 11) and twice instead of sh (in višaē for vishayē, 1. 8, and purusai for purushaik, l. 33); sh twice for s (as in shatki for sakti, l. 3) and three times for s (as in shutah for sutah, l. 8); and s three times for s (as in sasanka for sasanka, l. 1) and once for sh (in manusya for manushya, 1. 32). Excepting six benedictive and imprecatory verses in lines 23-33, the inscription is in prose. In line 8 and lines 12-14 there are indications that the text, as originally engraved, may have been tampered with.

It has been noticed in Mr. Sewell's Lists of Antiquities, Vol. II. p. 32, No. 214.

⁴ This is doubtful, because I have not at hand dated inscriptions with photo-lithographs from the same part of India, to compare with.

⁵ The sign of virama is not used in the text.

⁶ Compare my remarks on the India Office plate of Vijayarâjadêva, above, Vol. III. p. 312.

The inscription is one of Mahindravarmadêva's son, the devout worshipper of Mahêśvara (Śiva), the Mahârâjâdhirâja Paramêśvara Paramabhatṭâraka, the ornament of the spotless family of the Gaṅgas, the lord of the excellent city of Kôlâhala,¹ the Mahârâja Prithivivarmadêva, who had obtained a store of merit by worshipping the lotus-feet of the holy lord Gôkarnêśvara, dwelling on the summit of mount Mahêndra,² and who by the excellence of the three constituents of his regal power had attached to himself the whole circle of feudatories, and had acquired by the valorous strength of his arms the sovereignty over all Kalinga. From his residence at Śvêtka (?) the king by this document informs his officials and the inhabitants concerned, that on the occasion of an equinox he gave a village in the Ja[nô]ra vishaya to the bhaṭṭaputra³ Śubhaṁkara, (a Brâhmaṇa) of the Vaṭsa gôtra, who was a student of the Vâjasanêya Vêda, belonged to the Kâṇva śâkhâ, and had the fivefold pravara Bhârgava, Chyâvana, Âpnavâna, Aurva and Jâmadagna,— in such a manner that the donee under this deed was entitled to the yearly receipt of four palas in silver.⁴—The inscription was written by the samdhivigrahin, or minister of peace and war, Sâmanta, engraved by the brazier Sâmanta-Svayambhu, and furnished with a seal (? lâñchhita)⁵ by the chief queen

The inscription is not dated. On palæographical grounds it may perhaps be assigned to the 12th or 13th century A D — The town of Kôlâhala⁶ has been identified by Mr. Rice with the modern Kôlâr, in the east of Mysore.

TEXT.7

First Plate.

- 1 Ôm⁸ svasti [||*] Śvêtk⁹-âdhishṭhânâd=bhagayatan sacharâcha[ra*]-gurô¹⁰ sakalaśasâ(śâ)nka-[śêkhara?]sya¹¹ | [sth]i-
- 2 ty-utpati(tti)-pralaya-kâraṇa-hêtôḥr=¹²Mahêndrâ scha(cha)la-sikh a r a n ı v â s i (si) n a ḥ śrîmad-Gôkanê(rṇê)-¹³
- 3 śvara-bhaṭṭârakasya | charaṇakamal-ârâdhan-â- | vâtpa(pta)-punya(ṇya)nichayaḥ l⁴shatkitraya-prakarsh-ânuraṇḍi(ñji)-
- 4 t-â- | śvê(śê)sha-sâmanta-chakra[ḥ*] śva(sva)bhuja-va(ba)la-l
5parâkram-âkrânta- | sakala-Kaliṅg-âdhirâjê(jyaḥ) pa-

- ² It will be seen that some of these phrases are borrowed from the inscriptions of the earlier Ganga kings; compare e.g. above, Vol. III. p. 221.
- 3 Literally 'the son of a learned Brahmana,' formed on the analogy of rajaputra, and used here and in other inscriptions from Orissa as a title of respect.
- ⁴ Some of the more uncommon terms in the formal part of the grant occur in the Katak plates of Mahâbhavagupta and Mahâsivagupta, and in the Buguḍa (Gañjâm district) plates of Mâdhavavarman; see the notes on p. 200 f.
 - ⁵ See the same term above, Vol. III p. 42, note 3.
- ⁶ For a fanciful explanation of the name see the Puri (Jaganuath) plates of the Gangavamsa king Nrisimhadêva IV, Jour. Beng. As. Soc. Vol. LXIV. P. I. p. 137, l. 17.
 - 7 From impressions supplied by Dr. Hultzsch.
- ⁸ Expressed by a symbol.
- * These two aksharas are clear in the impressions and cannot be read differently.
- 10 Read -gurôh; all the signs of punctuation up to the middle of line 11 are superfluous.
- 11 In the place of the aksharas in brackets four aksharas seem to have been originally engraved, the first three of which perhaps were érakara, while the fourth is indistinct in the impressions; but the original engraving has apparently been altered. The epithet corresponds to the term éasânka-chûdamanêh of cognate inscriptions.
 - Read -hêtôr=; of the two words karana and hêtu one is superfluous
- 13 The akshara d-Go is denoted in the original by the sign for dg, preceded by the sign for 2, and followed by that of d. The god here named Gokarnesvara-bhattdraka is usually called Gokarnasvamin.
- 14 Read -śakti. Compare avátpa for avápta in the immediately preceding compound. In an unpublished copper-plate inscription from the Ganjam district I find similarly bhótkavyam for bhóktavyam, and rátsavya for zástavya.
 - 15 Originally pura was engraved.

¹ This is a hereditary title; see p 200, note 1.

5	ramamâhêśvarô mâtâpitri-pâdânudhyâtô mâ(ma)ha(hâ)râjâdhirâja-						
	paramêsva(śva)raḥ(ra-)paramabha-						
	tṭ[â]raka- Gangāmalakulatilaka- ¹śrîKôlâulapurapaṭṭaṇakasyaḥ-kavalya-³						
7	varayaghôsha- mahârâjaḥ(ja-)śrî-Prithivivarmmadêva[ḥ*] kuśalî śrî-						
	Mahindrava-						
8	rmmadêva-shutah³ Ja[nô?]ra-viśaê⁴ yathâkâl-âdhyâsih⁵ mâhâśâmanta-						
	śrîsha-6						
9							
	grâmapati ⁸ (anyâś=cha châṭa-bhaṭa-vallabhajâtiyâ ⁹ (janapadânâ râṭrakuṭa-						
	kutu-						
11	mvinâ sâmavâjıkah yathârhi(rham) mânayati vô(bô)dhayati śa(sa)mâdisha(śa)ti						
٠	Vidita-						
	Second Plate; First Side.						
12	m=astu bhavatâ¹0 êtad-vishaya-samandha-grâmô yaḥ grâma-dvayaṁḍôl=[î]ti nâma [¹¹¹						
13	sajalasthalârânya ¹² chatuḥśimôpalakshitaḥ bhatṭaputra-Śu-						
14	bha[m]karâya ¹³ Vâjasêna-charaṇâya ¹⁴ Kanva-śâkhâya ¹⁵ Vachha-gôtrâya						
1 %	16itya têshâm=adhivâs=têshâm pañchârishaya-pravarô bhavati Bhârgavaḥ						
	Chya-						
16	van-Âpnôvâ- n-Aurya-Jâmadagn=êti Jamadagnivat d-Urvava-						
17	t d=Annuvânavat Chyayanavat Bhriguyat ta-pravarâya ih=êva						
18	vishuka(va)-sankranya ¹⁷ matapitror=atmanas=cha pany-apivirdhayo ¹⁸						
10	yathâ saliladhârâ-purasarêṇâ ¹⁹ chandrârka-sthiti-						
1.0	Junto Donner Danaga La						
1.000	The state of the s						

2 Originally kamvalya- was engraved.

- Read -sutô; the compound so ending should properly have been placed before mahdrájádhirája in line 5.
- 4 Read -vishaye; the compound so ending has clearly been engraved in the place of another word which has been effaced.

5 Read -adhydsin6.

6 Read mahasamanta-samanta-.

7 Rend kumárdmáty-ôparika-.

8 Read opatin=anyami=cha.

9 Read °játkydñ=janapadán=ráshtrakúta-kutumbinah sámaráyikán.— Sámaráyika occurs in line 27 of the Katak plates of Mahâśivagupta (Yayâti), Jour. Beng. As. Soc Vol. XLVI. P. I. p. 154 (above, Vol. III. p. 352); the same and cognate inscriptions have rajavallabha for the vallabha of the present inscription.

10 Read bhavatam | étad-vishaua-sambaddha-(?); about the proper reading of the rest of the line I am doubtful. Here, again, the whole passage from grama up to Vajasena-cha in line 14 is engraved over another passage that has been effaced

11 The signs of punctuation up to the end of line 19 are superfluous.

13 Read orany .. ś=chatuhsim-opalakshito.

18 Read Vajasaneya- or Vajasana-. The reading Vajasena- we have also in the Katak plates of Mahabhavagunta, Ind. Ant. Vol. V. p. 56, and Proceedings Beng. As Soc. 1882, p 11 (above, Vol. III. p. 348, l. 12). 15 Read Vatsa -. 14 Read Kanva ..

16 The following passage I take to be intended for: iha tësham= (for tasya) adhivasah (for iha nevasinë) | tësham (for tasya) pañchárskéya-pravaró bhavati Bhârgava-Chydvan-Ápnaván-Aurva-Jámadagn=éti | Jamadagnivad= Orvavad=Apnavanavach=Chyavanavad=Bhriguvat | tat-pravaray=8h=aiva. 'Compare the similar passage above, - Vol. III. p. 45, lines 38-39, and note 6 - Pancharsheya-pravarah is evidently intended also by the yaśvariśwyapravarah, "the Yasvarisaya Pravara," of the copper-plate inscription edited in Jour Beng As. Soc Vol LXIV. P. I. p 126.

17 Rend -sankrantyam.

For the two next epithets I am unable to suggest any satisfactory emendations, but have no doubt that in the first the prince is intended to be described as 'the lord of the excellent city of Kôlâbala,' and that the second should mention some special musical instrument to which he was entitled by the favour of some god. As the signs for the initial u and for ha are similar in this inscription, the Kôldula of the text most probably is a mistake for Kôldhala. On Kôldhalapura see Ind. Ant. Vol. XVIII. p 167, and on the hereditary title of the Gangas 'Kôldla- (or Kovaldla- or Kuvaldla-) puravarêsvara ' eg. ibid. Vol. VI. pp. 102, 103, and Vol. XVIII. pp. 311, 312. To Gôkarnasvâmin the Gangas owed the kettledrum (bhêrî); ibid. Vol. XVIII. pp. 163, 173 (also 311 and 312).

¹⁸ Read puny-abhivriddhayê, and omit the following yatha

¹⁹ Read -purahsaram.

Second Plate; Second Side.

20	samâkalain ^l	sakarikritya	$prativarsha[\dot{m}]$	ru(rû)pya-palâni chatvâri		
21	dêyam ²	êvam pra	tipâditô=shmâ(smâ)bhir=y	atam(tah) ³ śâsana-darśa-		
22			nâ(sma)d-gaurav[â*]ch=cl	a na kênachit-paripanthinâ		
bha-						
23	vitavya[m*]	Tathâ cha	dharmma-śâ[strê*]shu	pathyatê Vahubhi4 vasu-		
24	dhâ datâ	râjâna Sa	gar-âdibhi [*] yasya	yasya yadâ bhumi bhu-		
25	mi			I(II) Shadâsiti-sahasrânâ[m]		
26		vasu[m]dharâ		iya kâtyaya svarg[ô]		
	• •					
Third Plate.						
27	gâma-pradâinê) () Mâ	bhud=vaḥ phala-śa:	nkâ vâ 🍑 para-da[t=ê]-		
28						
29	lanê ((II)	Bhumim yah		cha bhumi prayachhati (
	ubhau tt6					
30	punya-karmma	âṇau niyatô	svarga-gâminau ()	Sva-datâ para-datâm=vâ yô		
31				a pitribhi saha pachyatê [(]])		
	Iti ⁵					
32	kamaladal-âmvuvindu-lôlâ[m] śrîyam=anuchintya manusya-jivitañ=cha [*] sakala-					
	71 A					

33 m=idam=udâhritam vudhvâ na hi purusai para-kirtayô vilôpyâ |(||)

55 m=idam=udanrītam vudnva na ni purusai para-kirtayo vilopya Likshitamn=cha6

34 sandhivigrahi-śrî-Sâmantêna | Utkirnañ=cha⁷ śrî-Sâmanta-Svayambhu-kânsarê-

35 na⁸ | Lamnchhitamn=cha⁹ śrî-mâ(ma)hadêvyâ | ¹⁰Unyâksh[i]ram=adhikâksharam=vâ tat=ta-

36 ch=chharva pramâṇam=iti ||

Bead dêyâny=êvam.

Metre: Pushpitågrå.

¹ Read samakdlam=akartkritya. Compare above, Vol. III. p. 45, l. 40.

³ The sentence should end with =smdbhih; yatah connects the preceding with what follows and means 'such being the case.'

^{. 4} Metre: Ślóka (Anushtubh); and of the four next verses. I consider it superfluous to encumber the notes with corrections of the following verses.

⁸ Read Likhitan=cha.

⁷ Read Utkirnan=cha.

Read -kásáréna for -kámsyakáréna.

⁹ Read Lanchhitan=cha.

¹⁰ Read Nyûn-âksharam=adhik-âksharam va yat=tat=sarvam. The copper-plate referred to above, p. 200, at the end of note 16, has unyâksharam.